Table 1c. Demographics and Other Characteristics

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Year in School					
First year	190 (26.1%)	67 (23.4%)	4 (21.1%)	83 (27.2%)	36 (30.3%)
Sophomore	160 (21.9%)	73 (25.5%)	2 (10.5%)	57 (18.7%)	28 (23.5%)
Junior	187 (25.7%)	73 (25.5%)	8 (42.1%)	82 (26.9%)	24 (20.2%)
Senior	166 (22.8%)	64 (22.4%)	4 (21.1%)	74 (24.3%)	24 (20.2%)
Other	26 (3.6%)	9 (3.1%)	1 (5.3%)	9 (3.0%)	7 (5.9%)
Hispanic					
No	424 (58.1%)	286 (100.0%)	19 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	119 (100.0%)
Yes	306 (41.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	306 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Self-Reported Gender Identity					
Woman	437 (59.9%)	171 (60.0%)	14 (73.7%)	180 (58.8%)	72 (60.5%)
Man	275 (37.7%)	104 (36.5%)	5 (26.3%)	122 (39.9%)	44 (37.0%)
Transgender woman	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Transgender man	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Genderqueer or gender non-conforming	11 (1.5%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	2 (1.7%)
Questioning	5 (0.7%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)
Not listed	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Sexual Attraction					
Heterosexual or straight	579 (79.8%)	221 (77.8%)	13 (68.4%)	245 (80.6%)	100 (84.0%)
Gay or lesbian	24 (3.3%)	8 (2.8%)	1 (5.3%)	11 (3.6%)	4 (3.4%)
Bisexual	84 (11.6%)	37 (13.0%)	5 (26.3%)	34 (11.2%)	8 (6.7%)
Asexual	7 (1.0%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Questioning	16 (2.2%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	5 (4.2%)
Not listed	16 (2.2%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	2 (1.7%)
Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016					

Table 1c. Demographics and Other Characteristics

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
No	241 (33.0%)	99 (34.6%)	5 (26.3%)	91 (29.7%)	46 (38.7%)
Yes	489 (67.0%)	187 (65.4%)	14 (73.7%)	215 (70.3%)	73 (61.3%)
Year Enrolled as a Student at UNM					
Before 2013	64 (9.6%)	20 (7.6%)	0 (0.0%)	36 (12.6%)	8 (7.6%)
2013	88 (13.1%)	37 (14.0%)	3 (18.8%)	40 (14.0%)	8 (7.6%)
2014	121 (18.1%)	49 (18.6%)	4 (25.0%)	56 (19.6%)	12 (11.4%)
2015	158 (23.6%)	68 (25.8%)	3 (18.8%)	59 (20.7%)	28 (26.7%)
2016	220 (32.8%)	82 (31.1%)	6 (37.5%)	89 (31.2%)	43 (41.0%)
2017	19 (2.8%)	8 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.8%)	6 (5.7%)
Status					
Full-time	669 (92.3%)	264 (92.6%)	19 (100.0%)	275 (91.1%)	111 (93.3%)
Part-time	51 (7.0%)	20 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (8.3%)	6 (5.0%)
Other	5 (0.7%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (1.7%)
US Citizen or Permanent Resident					
No	30 (4.1%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	11 (3.6%)	15 (12.7%)
Yes	695 (95.9%)	281 (98.9%)	18 (94.7%)	293 (96.4%)	103 (87.3%)
Marital Status					
Never married	586 (80.6%)	229 (80.9%)	17 (89.5%)	244 (79.7%)	96 (80.7%)
Not married but living with a partner	77 (10.6%)	32 (11.3%)	0 (0.0%)	31 (10.1%)	14 (11.8%)
Married	21 (2.9%)	8 (2.8%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	4 (3.4%)
Divorced or separated	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Other	41 (5.6%)	14 (4.9%)	1 (5.3%)	22 (7.2%)	4 (3.4%)
Living Situation					
Live alone	112 (15.4%)	56 (19.6%)	4 (21.1%)	36 (11.8%)	16 (13.4%)
With a roommate/roommates (not a romantic partner)	265 (36.4%)	103 (36.1%)	8 (42.1%)	109 (35.6%)	45 (37.8%)

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
With my husband/wife, boyfriend/girlfriend or other romantic partner	93 (12.8%)	36 (12.6%)	2 (10.5%)	37 (12.1%)	18 (15.1%)
With my parents or other adult relatives	259 (35.5%)	90 (31.6%)	5 (26.3%)	124 (40.5%)	40 (33.6%)

Table 2c. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Heard of Alcohol EDU	176 (24.1%)	63 (22.0%)	5 (26.3%)	79 (25.8%)	29 (24.4%)
Received Alcohol EDU Training					
Never	86 (49.1%)	31 (49.2%)	3 (60.0%)	38 (48.7%)	14 (48.3%)
Once	81 (46.3%)	31 (49.2%)	2 (40.0%)	34 (43.6%)	14 (48.3%)
Multiple Times	8 (4.6%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (7.7%)	1 (3.4%)
Received Alcohol EDU Training Since Fall 2016*	40 (44.9%)	14 (43.8%)	0 (0.0%)	21 (52.5%)	5 (33.3%)
Helpfulness of Alcohol EDU Training*					
1 Not Helpful	9 (10.3%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.3%)	3 (20.0%)
2	8 (9.2%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.9%)	1 (6.7%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	40 (46.0%)	17 (53.1%)	1 (50.0%)	16 (42.1%)	6 (40.0%)
4	19 (21.8%)	4 (12.5%)	1 (50.0%)	9 (23.7%)	5 (33.3%)
5 Very Helpful	11 (12.6%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (21.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of Bringing in the Bystander	59 (8.1%)	24 (8.4%)	0 (0.0%)	28 (9.2%)	7 (5.9%)
Received Bringing in the Bystander Training					
Never	25 (43.9%)	10 (43.5%)	-	11 (40.7%)	4 (57.1%)
Once	28 (49.1%)	11 (47.8%)	-	15 (55.6%)	2 (28.6%)
Multiple Times	4 (7.0%)	2 (8.7%)	-	1 (3.7%)	1 (14.3%)
Received Bringing in the Bystander Training Since Fall 2016*	17 (53.1%)	5 (38.5%)	-	11 (68.8%)	1 (33.3%)
Helpfulness of Bringing in the Bystander Training*					
1 Not Helpful	3 (9.7%)	1 (7.7%)	-	2 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (9.7%)	2 (15.4%)	-	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	8 (25.8%)	3 (23.1%)	-	4 (26.7%)	1 (33.3%)
4	14 (45.2%)	5 (38.5%)	-	8 (53.3%)	1 (33.3%)
5 Very Helpful	3 (9.7%)	2 (15.4%)	-	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 2c. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Heard of Green Dot	34 (4.7%)	16 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (4.9%)	3 (2.5%)
Received Green Dot Training					
Never	18 (54.5%)	10 (66.7%)	-	5 (33.3%)	3 (100.0%)
Once	13 (39.4%)	4 (26.7%)	-	9 (60.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Multiple Times	2 (6.1%)	1 (6.7%)	1	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Green Dot Training Since Fall 2016*	7 (46.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	7 (70.0%)	-
Helpfulness of Green Dot Training*					
1 Not Helpful	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	1 (10.0%)	-
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
3 Somewhat Helpful	6 (40.0%)	2 (40.0%)	-	4 (40.0%)	-
4	7 (46.7%)	2 (40.0%)	-	5 (50.0%)	-
5 Very Helpful	1 (6.7%)	1 (20.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Heard of Haven	38 (5.2%)	18 (6.3%)	1 (5.3%)	17 (5.6%)	2 (1.7%)
Received Haven Training					
Never	21 (58.3%)	13 (72.2%)	1 (100.0%)	5 (33.3%)	2 (100.0%)
Once	12 (33.3%)	3 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (60.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Multiple Times	3 (8.3%)	2 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Haven Training Since Fall 2016*	9 (60.0%)	3 (60.0%)	-	6 (60.0%)	-
Helpfulness of Haven Training*					
1 Not Helpful	1 (6.7%)	1 (20.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
2	3 (20.0%)	2 (40.0%)	-	1 (10.0%)	-
3 Somewhat Helpful	7 (46.7%)	1 (20.0%)	-	6 (60.0%)	-
4	4 (26.7%)	1 (20.0%)	-	3 (30.0%)	-
5 Very Helpful	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-

*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 2c. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Heard of It's On Us	103 (14.1%)	38 (13.3%)	2 (10.5%)	45 (14.7%)	18 (15.1%)
Received It's On Us Training					
Never	62 (60.8%)	23 (60.5%)	1 (50.0%)	24 (54.5%)	14 (77.8%)
Once	35 (34.3%)	13 (34.2%)	1 (50.0%)	18 (40.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Multiple Times	5 (4.9%)	2 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Received It's On Us Training Since Fall 2016*	21 (52.5%)	8 (53.3%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (55.0%)	2 (50.0%)
Helpfulness of It's On Us Training*					
1 Not Helpful	2 (5.1%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (25.0%)
2	2 (5.1%)	2 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	15 (38.5%)	7 (46.7%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (36.8%)	1 (25.0%)
4	13 (33.3%)	4 (26.7%)	1 (100.0%)	8 (42.1%)	0 (0.0%)
5 Very Helpful	7 (17.9%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (21.1%)	2 (50.0%)
Heard of Step UP	124 (17.0%)	47 (16.4%)	4 (21.1%)	54 (17.6%)	19 (16.0%)
Received Step UP Training					
Never	88 (75.9%)	36 (80.0%)	2 (66.7%)	36 (73.5%)	14 (73.7%)
Once	25 (21.6%)	8 (17.8%)	1 (33.3%)	12 (24.5%)	4 (21.1%)
Multiple Times	3 (2.6%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.0%)	1 (5.3%)
Received Step UP Training Since Fall 2016*	12 (42.9%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (61.5%)	2 (40.0%)
Helpfulness of Step UP Training*					
1 Not Helpful	4 (14.3%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (7.7%)	2 (40.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	13 (46.4%)	6 (66.7%)	1 (100.0%)	4 (30.8%)	2 (40.0%)
4	8 (28.6%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (38.5%)	1 (20.0%)
5 Very Helpful	3 (10.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (23.1%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Heard of Think About It	64 (8.8%)	31 (10.8%)	2 (10.5%)	28 (9.2%)	3 (2.5%)
Received Think About It Training					
Never	31 (50.8%)	16 (55.2%)	2 (100.0%)	12 (44.4%)	1 (33.3%)
Once	25 (41.0%)	11 (37.9%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (44.4%)	2 (66.7%)
Multiple Times	5 (8.2%)	2 (6.9%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Think About It Training Since Fall 2016*	17 (56.7%)	6 (46.2%)	-	9 (60.0%)	2 (100.0%)
Helpfulness of Think About It Training*					
1 Not Helpful	3 (10.3%)	2 (15.4%)	-	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	15 (51.7%)	8 (61.5%)	-	6 (42.9%)	1 (50.0%)
4	9 (31.0%)	2 (15.4%)	-	7 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)
5 Very Helpful	2 (6.9%)	1 (7.7%)	-	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of The Grey Area	418 (57.3%)	183 (64.0%)	10 (52.6%)	162 (52.9%)	63 (52.9%)
Received The Grey Area Training					
Never	174 (41.8%)	76 (41.8%)	5 (50.0%)	69 (42.9%)	24 (38.1%)
Once	218 (52.4%)	98 (53.8%)	5 (50.0%)	81 (50.3%)	34 (54.0%)
Multiple Times	24 (5.8%)	8 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (6.8%)	5 (7.9%)
Received The Grey Area Training Since Fall 2016*	163 (67.4%)	69 (65.1%)	3 (60.0%)	65 (70.7%)	26 (66.7%)
Helpfulness of The Grey Area Training*					
1 Not Helpful	23 (9.7%)	13 (12.4%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (7.9%)	3 (7.7%)
2	24 (10.1%)	14 (13.3%)	1 (20.0%)	5 (5.6%)	4 (10.3%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	81 (34.0%)	36 (34.3%)	3 (60.0%)	25 (28.1%)	17 (43.6%)
4	62 (26.1%)	25 (23.8%)	0 (0.0%)	28 (31.5%)	9 (23.1%)
5 Very Helpful	48 (20.2%)	17 (16.2%)	1 (20.0%)	24 (27.0%)	6 (15.4%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 2c. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Heard of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App	86 (11.8%)	30 (10.5%)	1 (5.3%)	39 (12.7%)	16 (13.4%)
Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training					
Never	62 (73.8%)	21 (72.4%)	1 (100.0%)	29 (76.3%)	11 (68.8%)
Once	17 (20.2%)	7 (24.1%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (18.4%)	3 (18.8%)
Multiple Times	5 (6.0%)	1 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.3%)	2 (12.5%)
Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training Since Fall 2016*	7 (31.8%)	2 (25.0%)	-	3 (33.3%)	2 (40.0%)
Helpfulness of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training*					
1 Not Helpful	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	-	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	10 (45.5%)	5 (62.5%)	-	4 (44.4%)	1 (20.0%)
4	9 (40.9%)	2 (25.0%)	-	3 (33.3%)	4 (80.0%)
5 Very Helpful	2 (9.1%)	1 (12.5%)	-	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of another bystander training program	14 (1.9%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)
Received another bystander training program					
Never	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Once	11 (84.6%)	3 (100.0%)	-	6 (85.7%)	2 (66.7%)
Multiple Times	2 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	-	1 (14.3%)	1 (33.3%)
Received another bystander training program Since Fall 2016*	6 (46.2%)	1 (33.3%)	-	4 (57.1%)	1 (33.3%)
Helpfulness of another bystander training program*					
1 Not Helpful	1 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (23.1%)	2 (66.7%)	-	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	5 (38.5%)	1 (33.3%)	-	2 (28.6%)	2 (66.7%)
4	4 (30.8%)	0 (0.0%)	-	3 (42.9%)	1 (33.3%)
5 Very Helpful	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 3c. Social Life

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Residential Location					
Single-sex residence hall or dormitory	43 (5.9%)	16 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (5.2%)	11 (9.2%)
Co-ed residence hall or dormitory	168 (23.0%)	74 (26.0%)	6 (31.6%)	58 (19.0%)	30 (25.2%)
Other university housing	22 (3.0%)	9 (3.2%)	1 (5.3%)	9 (2.9%)	3 (2.5%)
Fraternity/sorority house	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
Off-campus house or apartment	486 (66.7%)	182 (63.9%)	12 (63.2%)	219 (71.6%)	73 (61.3%)
Other	6 (0.8%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
Member of*:					
Honor society or professional group related to your major, field of study	219 (30.0%)	94 (32.9%)	5 (26.3%)	82 (26.8%)	38 (31.9%)
Media organization (e.g. newspaper, radio, magazine)	11 (1.5%)	5 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Fraternity or sorority (pledge/new member or member)	49 (6.7%)	20 (7.0%)	1 (5.3%)	15 (4.9%)	13 (10.9%)
Political group	27 (3.7%)	10 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	9 (7.6%)
Social action group	32 (4.4%)	11 (3.8%)	1 (5.3%)	15 (4.9%)	5 (4.2%)
Student government	17 (2.3%)	5 (1.7%)	2 (10.5%)	6 (2.0%)	4 (3.4%)
Community service organization	54 (7.4%)	21 (7.3%)	1 (5.3%)	25 (8.2%)	7 (5.9%)
Student religious group	50 (6.8%)	25 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (4.9%)	10 (8.4%)
Intercollegiate athletic team	11 (1.5%)	3 (1.0%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (1.7%)
Intramural or club athletic team	40 (5.5%)	14 (4.9%)	1 (5.3%)	14 (4.6%)	11 (9.2%)
Health education group (place holder for specific alcohol abuse prevention programs, sexual violence/sexual risk taking programs)	10 (1.4%)	7 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
Racial minority or ethnic organization	30 (4.1%)	2 (0.7%)	7 (36.8%)	14 (4.6%)	7 (5.9%)
Sexual minority organization (LGBTQ)	22 (3.0%)	7 (2.4%)	2 (10.5%)	12 (3.9%)	1 (0.8%)
Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC)	9 (1.2%)	3 (1.0%)	1 (5.3%)	4 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 3c. Social Life

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect binge drink (drink 5 or more drinks in a row within about 2 hours)?					
0 friends	294 (40.3%)	111 (38.9%)	9 (47.4%)	121 (39.5%)	53 (44.5%)
1-2 friends	210 (28.8%)	73 (25.6%)	3 (15.8%)	102 (33.3%)	32 (26.9%)
3-5 friends	112 (15.4%)	46 (16.1%)	4 (21.1%)	46 (15.0%)	16 (13.4%)
6 or more friends	113 (15.5%)	55 (19.3%)	3 (15.8%)	37 (12.1%)	18 (15.1%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect use illegal drugs?					
0 friends	244 (33.6%)	96 (33.8%)	6 (31.6%)	95 (31.1%)	47 (39.5%)
1-2 friends	210 (28.9%)	73 (25.7%)	7 (36.8%)	101 (33.1%)	29 (24.4%)
3-5 friends	135 (18.6%)	51 (18.0%)	4 (21.1%)	53 (17.4%)	27 (22.7%)
6 or more friends	138 (19.0%)	64 (22.5%)	2 (10.5%)	56 (18.4%)	16 (13.4%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have forced someone to have sex with them?					
0 friends	689 (94.6%)	263 (92.3%)	19 (100.0%)	292 (95.7%)	115 (96.6%)
1-2 friends	37 (5.1%)	21 (7.4%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	4 (3.4%)
3-5 friends	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6 or more friends	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have used any physical force against a partner?					
0 friends	679 (93.4%)	260 (91.5%)	19 (100.0%)	288 (94.4%)	112 (94.1%)
1-2 friends	46 (6.3%)	23 (8.1%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (5.2%)	7 (5.9%)
3-5 friends	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6 or more friends	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 3c. Social Life

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have partners that use physical force?					
0 friends	624 (85.7%)	231 (81.3%)	15 (78.9%)	270 (88.2%)	108 (90.8%)
1-2 friends	93 (12.8%)	48 (16.9%)	4 (21.1%)	31 (10.1%)	10 (8.4%)
3-5 friends	7 (1.0%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
6 or more friends	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect had sex because they were forced or pressured into it?					
0 friends	538 (73.8%)	195 (68.4%)	16 (84.2%)	230 (75.2%)	97 (81.5%)
1-2 friends	150 (20.6%)	69 (24.2%)	2 (10.5%)	61 (19.9%)	18 (15.1%)
3-5 friends	31 (4.3%)	14 (4.9%)	1 (5.3%)	13 (4.2%)	3 (2.5%)
6 or more friends	10 (1.4%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n	723	282	19	303	119
Mean (SD)	4.4 (4.77)	4.9 (5.27)	3.8 (4.25)	4.1 (4.48)	3.8 (4.22)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 8	1, 3, 6	1, 3, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 36	0, 36	0, 15	0, 28	0, 16
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	3.7 (3.89)	4 (4.2)	3.4 (3.89)	3.5 (3.65)	3.3 (3.68)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	1, 2, 7	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n	725	283	19	304	119
Mean (SD)	0.7 (1.82)	1 (2.17)	0.5 (0.77)	0.7 (1.71)	0.5 (1.16)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 24	0, 24	0, 3	0, 16	0, 7

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 3c. Social Life

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Since Fall 2016, how frequently did you binge drink? (Binge drinking is defined as having five or more drinks in a row within 2 hours.)					
I have had 5 or more drinks in a row (binge drinking), but not since Fall 2016	40 (5.5%)	16 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	17 (5.6%)	7 (5.9%)
Once or twice since Fall 2016	123 (16.8%)	52 (18.2%)	2 (10.5%)	55 (18.0%)	14 (11.8%)
About once a month	79 (10.8%)	26 (9.1%)	3 (15.8%)	37 (12.1%)	13 (10.9%)
About once a week	33 (4.5%)	15 (5.2%)	1 (5.3%)	13 (4.2%)	4 (3.4%)
Almost every day	4 (0.5%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
I never binge drink	180 (24.7%)	72 (25.2%)	5 (26.3%)	76 (24.8%)	27 (22.7%)
I do not drink	271 (37.1%)	103 (36.0%)	8 (42.1%)	106 (34.6%)	54 (45.4%)
Since Fall 2016, have you been unable to remember things that happened while you were drinking alcohol (things you would normally remember)?					
No	292 (40.1%)	123 (43.2%)	6 (31.6%)	118 (38.6%)	45 (37.8%)
Yes, in the past month	60 (8.2%)	22 (7.7%)	3 (15.8%)	30 (9.8%)	5 (4.2%)
Yes, but not in the past month	97 (13.3%)	37 (13.0%)	0 (0.0%)	45 (14.7%)	15 (12.6%)
No, I do not drink	280 (38.4%)	103 (36.1%)	10 (52.6%)	113 (36.9%)	54 (45.4%)
Since Fall 2016, have you drank alcohol to feel more connected to the people around you?					
No	230 (31.5%)	79 (27.6%)	4 (21.1%)	110 (35.9%)	37 (31.1%)
Yes, in the past month	117 (16.0%)	65 (22.7%)	3 (15.8%)	40 (13.1%)	9 (7.6%)
Yes, but not in the past month	104 (14.2%)	39 (13.6%)	3 (15.8%)	44 (14.4%)	18 (15.1%)
No, I do not drink	279 (38.2%)	103 (36.0%)	9 (47.4%)	112 (36.6%)	55 (46.2%)
Since Fall 2016, have you drank to feel more comfortable with or to increase the opportunity for sex?					
No	368 (50.5%)	147 (51.8%)	7 (36.8%)	157 (51.3%)	57 (47.9%)
Yes, in the past month	44 (6.0%)	21 (7.4%)	2 (10.5%)	20 (6.5%)	1 (0.8%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 3c. Social Life

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Yes, but not in the past month	36 (4.9%)	13 (4.6%)	1 (5.3%)	16 (5.2%)	6 (5.0%)
No, I do not drink	280 (38.5%)	103 (36.3%)	9 (47.4%)	113 (36.9%)	55 (46.2%)
Since Fall 2016, have you done things when drinking alcohol that you normally would not do and you now regret doing?					
No	322 (44.2%)	130 (45.8%)	8 (42.1%)	135 (44.1%)	49 (41.2%)
Yes, in the past month	41 (5.6%)	13 (4.6%)	1 (5.3%)	21 (6.9%)	6 (5.0%)
Yes, but not in the past month	85 (11.7%)	38 (13.4%)	1 (5.3%)	37 (12.1%)	9 (7.6%)
No, I do not drink	280 (38.5%)	103 (36.3%)	9 (47.4%)	113 (36.9%)	55 (46.2%)
Since Fall 2016, have you been recommended to Alcoholics Anonymous or an alcohol or drug treatment facility?					
No	443 (60.9%)	179 (63.0%)	10 (52.6%)	192 (62.7%)	62 (52.5%)
Yes, in the past month	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not in the past month	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)
No, I do not drink	279 (38.4%)	102 (35.9%)	9 (47.4%)	113 (36.9%)	55 (46.6%)
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n	725	282	19	306	118
Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.83)	0.4 (0.85)	0.5 (1.07)	0.4 (0.87)	0.2 (0.61)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens~Health| McBee| Programs | UNM| 2017| T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student?					
You made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that may have been or were insulting or offensive to another student?					
0 times	622 (85.4%)	250 (87.7%)	17 (89.5%)	262 (85.9%)	93 (78.2%)
1 time	36 (4.9%)	13 (4.6%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	11 (9.2%)
2 times	14 (1.9%)	5 (1.8%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.6%)	3 (2.5%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	3 (2.5%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	18 (2.5%)	11 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	4 (3.4%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	26 (3.6%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.3%)	16 (5.2%)	5 (4.2%)
You made inappropriate or offensive comments about another student's body or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities?					
0 times	642 (88.1%)	256 (89.5%)	18 (94.7%)	265 (86.9%)	103 (86.6%)
1 time	26 (3.6%)	7 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (4.6%)	5 (4.2%)
2 times	14 (1.9%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	1 (0.8%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	7 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	3 (2.5%)
6-10 times	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)
More than 10 times	11 (1.5%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	22 (3.0%)	6 (2.1%)	1 (5.3%)	9 (3.0%)	6 (5.0%)
You emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to another student that they probably did not want?					
0 times	707 (97.1%)	280 (98.2%)	18 (94.7%)	293 (96.1%)	116 (97.5%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
1 time	5 (0.7%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	12 (1.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.3%)	7 (2.3%)	3 (2.5%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student?					
You harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated another student because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?					
0 times	711 (97.5%)	285 (99.7%)	18 (94.7%)	294 (96.4%)	114 (95.8%)
1 time	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	13 (1.8%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)
You physically hurt another student (including forcing sex) because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?					
0 times	712 (97.7%)	285 (99.7%)	18 (94.7%)	294 (96.4%)	115 (96.6%)
1 time	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
3-5 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	14 (1.9%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	4 (3.4%)
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Since Fall 2016					
No	620 (85.0%)	246 (86.0%)	18 (94.7%)	262 (85.9%)	94 (79.0%)
Yes***	109 (15.0%)	40 (14.0%)	1 (5.3%)	43 (14.1%)	25 (21.0%)
What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*:					
At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with	9 (8.3%)	4 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	2 (8.0%)
Someone I had been involved or was intimate with	9 (8.3%)	4 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	1 (4.0%)
Teacher or advisor	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	4 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Friend	66 (60.6%)	31 (77.5%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (46.5%)	15 (60.0%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	12 (11.0%)	4 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.6%)	3 (12.0%)
Stranger	21 (19.3%)	6 (15.0%)	1 (100.0%)	11 (25.6%)	3 (12.0%)
Other	3 (2.8%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Don't Know	11 (10.1%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.6%)	5 (20.0%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	31 (28.4%)	12 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (20.9%)	10 (40.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	41 (37.6%)	18 (45.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (25.6%)	12 (48.0%)
At a party or social event	31 (28.4%)	12 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (25.6%)	8 (32.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
In a public place	32 (29.4%)	12 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (32.6%)	6 (24.0%)
Other	7 (6.4%)	2 (5.0%)	1 (100.0%)	1 (2.3%)	3 (12.0%)
Don't Know	21 (19.3%)	8 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (18.6%)	5 (20.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance?					
No	88 (81.5%)	33 (84.6%)	1 (100.0%)	33 (76.7%)	21 (84.0%)
Yes	11 (10.2%)	4 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	3 (12.0%)
Don't Know	9 (8.3%)	2 (5.1%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (14.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					
No	95 (88.0%)	36 (92.3%)	1 (100.0%)	36 (83.7%)	22 (88.0%)
Yes	8 (7.4%)	2 (5.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	2 (8.0%)
Don't Know	5 (4.6%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for student(s)?					
No	78 (72.2%)	31 (79.5%)	1 (100.0%)	32 (74.4%)	14 (56.0%)
Yes	17 (15.7%)	6 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.6%)	6 (24.0%)
Don't Know	13 (12.0%)	2 (5.1%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (14.0%)	5 (20.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	12 (11.0%)	3 (7.5%)	1 (100.0%)	7 (16.3%)	1 (4.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	9 (8.3%)	2 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (14.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	16 (14.7%)	7 (17.5%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.6%)	4 (16.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	3 (2.8%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (4.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	4 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	1 (4.0%)
Not felt any different?	65 (59.6%)	27 (67.5%)	0 (0.0%)	21 (48.8%)	17 (68.0%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	4 (3.7%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	0.8 (3.41)	0.8 (3.09)	0.1 (0.46)	0.8 (4.1)	0.8 (2.27)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 55	0, 22	0, 2	0, 55	0, 11
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n	109	40	1	43	25
Mean (SD)	5.4 (7.26)	6 (6.14)	2(-)	6 (9.5)	3.8 (3.69)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 11	1.5, 2, 11	2, 2, 2	1, 2, 11	1, 2, 4
Minimum, Maximum	1, 55	1, 22	2, 2	1, 55	1, 11
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM:					
Did you physically force or make threats of physical force against another student to have sex?					
No	730 (100.0%)	286 (100.0%)	19 (100.0%)	306 (100.0%)	119 (100.0%)
Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did you use physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make another student have sex?					
No	729 (99.9%)	286 (100.0%)	19 (100.0%)	305 (99.7%)	119 (100.0%)
Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM:					
Did you do either of the following with another student who was unable to consent or stop what was happening because they were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol? -Sexual penetration or Oral sex					
No	728 (99.7%)	285 (99.7%)	19 (100.0%)	306 (100.0%)	118 (99.2%)
Yes	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did YOU have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that they felt they must comply?					
No	728 (99.9%)	285 (99.7%)	19 (100.0%)	305 (100.0%)	119 (100.0%)
Yes	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did you have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex without their active, ongoing voluntary agreement?					
No	724 (99.2%)	282 (98.6%)	19 (100.0%)	305 (99.7%)	118 (99.2%)
Yes	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Sexual Violence Perpetration Since Fall 2016					
No	724 (99.2%)	282 (98.6%)	19 (100.0%)	306 (100.0%)	117 (98.3%)
Yes***	6 (0.8%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)
What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*:					

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with	4 (66.7%)	3 (75.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
Someone I had been involved or was intimate with	2 (33.3%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
Teacher or advisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Friend	3 (50.0%)	3 (75.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Stranger	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	4 (66.7%)	3 (75.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
At a party or social event	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
In a public place	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	1 (50.0%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	1 (16.7%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance?					
No	3 (50.0%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	2 (100.0%)
Yes	1 (16.7%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	2 (33.3%)	2 (50.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					
No	5 (83.3%)	3 (75.0%)	-	-	2 (100.0%)
Yes	1 (16.7%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for the student(s)?					
No	5 (83.3%)	3 (75.0%)	-	-	2 (100.0%)
Yes	1 (16.7%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	1 (16.7%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Not felt any different?	3 (50.0%)	1 (25.0%)	-	-	2 (100.0%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	-	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, have you*					
Put a drug into someone's food or beverage without their knowledge?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Put alcohol into someone's nonalcoholic drink without their knowledge?	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4c. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Misled someone about the amount of alcohol in their alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than they might have thought?	14 (1.9%)	5 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	3 (2.5%)
Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without someone's knowledge, that someone smoked?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Given someone drugs or alcohol, without their knowledge, so that it would be easier to have sex with them?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, have you threatened to or actually physically harmed a dating or intimate partner, someone they loved or yourself?					
No	713 (97.7%)	278 (97.2%)	19 (100.0%)	300 (98.0%)	116 (97.5%)
Yes	8 (1.1%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (1.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	9 (1.2%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 5c. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
I am able to recognize a situation that might become violent.					
Strongly Disagree	13 (1.8%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	6 (5.0%)
Disagree	10 (1.4%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	4 (3.4%)
Neutral	55 (7.5%)	25 (8.8%)	2 (10.5%)	16 (5.2%)	12 (10.1%)
Agree	389 (53.4%)	153 (53.7%)	11 (57.9%)	161 (52.6%)	64 (53.8%)
Strongly Agree	262 (35.9%)	101 (35.4%)	6 (31.6%)	122 (39.9%)	33 (27.7%)
I can make a difference in reducing dating violence or sexual violence at UNM.					
Strongly Disagree	23 (3.2%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (2.9%)	6 (5.0%)
Disagree	72 (9.9%)	29 (10.2%)	2 (10.5%)	27 (8.8%)	14 (11.8%)
Neutral	246 (33.8%)	89 (31.3%)	7 (36.8%)	107 (35.0%)	43 (36.1%)
Agree	281 (38.6%)	110 (38.7%)	8 (42.1%)	118 (38.6%)	45 (37.8%)
Strongly Agree	106 (14.6%)	48 (16.9%)	2 (10.5%)	45 (14.7%)	11 (9.2%)
I have the skills to help prevent dating violence or sexual violence at UNM.					
Strongly Disagree	27 (3.7%)	10 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (3.6%)	6 (5.0%)
Disagree	93 (12.8%)	36 (12.7%)	5 (26.3%)	33 (10.8%)	19 (16.0%)
Neutral	252 (34.6%)	94 (33.1%)	6 (31.6%)	103 (33.7%)	49 (41.2%)
Agree	260 (35.7%)	96 (33.8%)	7 (36.8%)	121 (39.5%)	36 (30.3%)
Strongly Agree	96 (13.2%)	48 (16.9%)	1 (5.3%)	38 (12.4%)	9 (7.6%)
I am able to help if I see a situation where someone might be taken advantage of sexually.					
Strongly Disagree	6 (0.8%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Disagree	25 (3.4%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	12 (10.1%)
Neutral	112 (15.4%)	40 (14.1%)	4 (21.1%)	48 (15.7%)	20 (16.8%)
Agree	380 (52.2%)	161 (56.7%)	10 (52.6%)	147 (48.0%)	62 (52.1%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 5c. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Strongly Agree	205 (28.2%)	78 (27.5%)	4 (21.1%)	100 (32.7%)	23 (19.3%)
I have personally been affected by dating violence or sexual violence, because it happened to me or someone I know.					
Strongly Disagree	218 (29.9%)	74 (26.1%)	5 (26.3%)	102 (33.3%)	37 (31.1%)
Disagree	154 (21.2%)	61 (21.5%)	5 (26.3%)	59 (19.3%)	29 (24.4%)
Neutral	87 (12.0%)	31 (10.9%)	2 (10.5%)	37 (12.1%)	17 (14.3%)
Agree	151 (20.7%)	68 (23.9%)	6 (31.6%)	55 (18.0%)	22 (18.5%)
Strongly Agree	118 (16.2%)	50 (17.6%)	1 (5.3%)	53 (17.3%)	14 (11.8%)
I often attend events/parties with other students.					
Strongly Disagree	224 (30.7%)	96 (33.7%)	2 (10.5%)	90 (29.4%)	36 (30.3%)
Disagree	142 (19.5%)	55 (19.3%)	3 (15.8%)	55 (18.0%)	29 (24.4%)
Neutral	139 (19.1%)	50 (17.5%)	6 (31.6%)	61 (19.9%)	22 (18.5%)
Agree	136 (18.7%)	50 (17.5%)	4 (21.1%)	60 (19.6%)	22 (18.5%)
Strongly Agree	88 (12.1%)	34 (11.9%)	4 (21.1%)	40 (13.1%)	10 (8.4%)
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	727	283	19	306	119
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.64)	15.4 (2.64)	14.8 (2.39)	15.4 (2.55)	14.3 (2.77)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 17	13, 15, 16	14, 15, 17	12, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	6, 20	10, 20	4, 20	4, 20
Has a friend or acquaintance talked with you about the importance of reducing sexual or dating violence?					
0 times	404 (55.4%)	140 (49.1%)	11 (57.9%)	183 (59.8%)	70 (58.8%)
1 time	99 (13.6%)	40 (14.0%)	4 (21.1%)	33 (10.8%)	22 (18.5%)
2 times	79 (10.8%)	35 (12.3%)	2 (10.5%)	29 (9.5%)	13 (10.9%)
3-5 times	73 (10.0%)	37 (13.0%)	2 (10.5%)	31 (10.1%)	3 (2.5%)
6-10 times	18 (2.5%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	2 (1.7%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 5c. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
More than 10 times	21 (2.9%)	17 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (1.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	35 (4.8%)	12 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (5.2%)	7 (5.9%)
Have you and your friends talked about activities or things you could do that might help prevent sexual or dating violence at your university or in your community?					
0 times	470 (64.5%)	172 (60.4%)	11 (57.9%)	202 (66.0%)	85 (71.4%)
1 time	82 (11.2%)	33 (11.6%)	5 (26.3%)	31 (10.1%)	13 (10.9%)
2 times	74 (10.2%)	33 (11.6%)	2 (10.5%)	29 (9.5%)	10 (8.4%)
3-5 times	58 (8.0%)	28 (9.8%)	1 (5.3%)	25 (8.2%)	4 (3.4%)
6-10 times	13 (1.8%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (1.7%)
More than 10 times	14 (1.9%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	18 (2.5%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	4 (3.4%)
Have you talked with your friends about what you can do to keep yourself or others safe from sexual or dating violence?					
0 times	357 (49.2%)	123 (43.3%)	11 (57.9%)	156 (51.3%)	67 (56.3%)
1 time	110 (15.2%)	45 (15.8%)	2 (10.5%)	41 (13.5%)	22 (18.5%)
2 times	90 (12.4%)	40 (14.1%)	2 (10.5%)	38 (12.5%)	10 (8.4%)
3-5 times	98 (13.5%)	47 (16.5%)	4 (21.1%)	37 (12.2%)	10 (8.4%)
6-10 times	24 (3.3%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (4.3%)	3 (2.5%)
More than 10 times	31 (4.3%)	15 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	4 (3.4%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	3 (2.5%)
Have you discussed the possible dangers of drinking too much with friends?					
0 times	283 (38.8%)	99 (34.7%)	6 (31.6%)	115 (37.6%)	63 (52.9%)
1 time	107 (14.7%)	46 (16.1%)	2 (10.5%)	46 (15.0%)	13 (10.9%)
2 times	124 (17.0%)	48 (16.8%)	6 (31.6%)	54 (17.6%)	16 (13.4%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 5c. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
3-5 times	120 (16.5%)	59 (20.7%)	4 (21.1%)	42 (13.7%)	15 (12.6%)
6-10 times	39 (5.3%)	10 (3.5%)	1 (5.3%)	23 (7.5%)	5 (4.2%)
More than 10 times	43 (5.9%)	18 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (6.5%)	5 (4.2%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	13 (1.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Have you told someone you were concerned about their drinking?					
0 times	434 (59.5%)	159 (55.8%)	12 (63.2%)	194 (63.4%)	69 (58.0%)
1 time	123 (16.9%)	58 (20.4%)	2 (10.5%)	47 (15.4%)	16 (13.4%)
2 times	80 (11.0%)	34 (11.9%)	0 (0.0%)	30 (9.8%)	16 (13.4%)
3-5 times	35 (4.8%)	14 (4.9%)	2 (10.5%)	10 (3.3%)	9 (7.6%)
6-10 times	17 (2.3%)	4 (1.4%)	2 (10.5%)	8 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)
More than 10 times	17 (2.3%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (2.9%)	3 (2.5%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	23 (3.2%)	11 (3.9%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)
Have you told someone that getting drunk puts them at risk for being a victim of violence?					
0 times	519 (71.3%)	206 (72.3%)	11 (57.9%)	215 (70.5%)	87 (73.1%)
1 time	81 (11.1%)	34 (11.9%)	3 (15.8%)	29 (9.5%)	15 (12.6%)
2 times	51 (7.0%)	20 (7.0%)	3 (15.8%)	23 (7.5%)	5 (4.2%)
3-5 times	40 (5.5%)	11 (3.9%)	1 (5.3%)	21 (6.9%)	7 (5.9%)
6-10 times	7 (1.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.3%)	4 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	11 (1.5%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	19 (2.6%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (3.0%)	4 (3.4%)
Have you expressed your concern when someone was talking about how they got so wasted?					
0 times	427 (58.7%)	165 (58.1%)	9 (47.4%)	181 (59.2%)	72 (60.5%)
1 time	107 (14.7%)	55 (19.4%)	4 (21.1%)	34 (11.1%)	14 (11.8%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 5c. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
2 times	87 (12.0%)	30 (10.6%)	1 (5.3%)	39 (12.7%)	17 (14.3%)
3-5 times	54 (7.4%)	17 (6.0%)	3 (15.8%)	27 (8.8%)	7 (5.9%)
6-10 times	12 (1.6%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	3 (2.5%)
More than 10 times	18 (2.5%)	6 (2.1%)	2 (10.5%)	9 (2.9%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	23 (3.2%)	9 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (2.9%)	5 (4.2%)
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n	724	283	19	303	119
Mean (SD)	7.9 (10.98)	8.6 (11.94)	7.9 (9.28)	7.9 (10.86)	6.3 (8.86)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 4, 11	1, 5, 11	0, 6, 13	1, 4, 11	0, 3, 9
Minimum, Maximum	0,77	0, 77	0, 30	0, 77	0, 46
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n	726	284	19	304	119
Mean (SD)	3.5 (5.66)	4.2 (6.55)	2.3 (2.67)	3.2 (5.13)	2.5 (4.78)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 1, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 3
Minimum, Maximum	0, 33	0, 33	0, 9	0, 33	0, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	4.5 (6.85)	4.4 (6.8)	5.6 (7.14)	4.7 (7.37)	3.8 (5.37)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	0, 3, 5	0, 3, 8	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 26	0, 44	0, 25

Table 6c. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen someone so drunk or high that you worried they would be taken advantage of?					
No	549 (75.4%)	218 (76.8%)	16 (84.2%)	227 (74.2%)	88 (73.9%)
Yes	179 (24.6%)	66 (23.2%)	3 (15.8%)	79 (25.8%)	31 (26.1%)
Bystanding Behavior*					
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	14 (7.8%)	4 (6.1%)	1 (33.3%)	7 (8.9%)	2 (6.5%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	5 (2.8%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.8%)	1 (3.2%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	28 (15.6%)	8 (12.1%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (15.2%)	8 (25.8%)
Did nothing for another reason	22 (12.3%)	7 (10.6%)	1 (33.3%)	13 (16.5%)	1 (3.2%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	66 (36.9%)	33 (50.0%)	2 (66.7%)	19 (24.1%)	12 (38.7%)
Created a distraction to try and help	25 (14.0%)	11 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (12.7%)	4 (12.9%)
Confronted the person	45 (25.1%)	19 (28.8%)	1 (33.3%)	17 (21.5%)	8 (25.8%)
Called UNM police or other authority	4 (2.2%)	2 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	40 (22.3%)	14 (21.2%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (25.3%)	6 (19.4%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or otherwise suspected that someone slipped drugs or extra alcohol into another's drink?					
No	708 (97.1%)	276 (96.8%)	18 (94.7%)	299 (97.7%)	115 (96.6%)
Yes	21 (2.9%)	9 (3.2%)	1 (5.3%)	7 (2.3%)	4 (3.4%)
Bystanding Behavior*					
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	1 (4.8%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	2 (9.5%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	3 (14.3%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (25.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	7 (33.3%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	2 (50.0%)

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.

 $\textit{Program: S:} \ | \textit{DATAQUeST} | \textit{Requests} | \textit{Womens Health} | \textit{McBee} | \textit{Programs} | \textit{UNM} | \textit{2017} | \textit{T_UNM_RACE_ETH.sas} | \textit{T_UNM_RACE_ETH.sas} | \textit{T_UNM_RACE_$

Table 6c. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Created a distraction to try and help	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	6 (28.6%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (9.5%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	6 (28.6%)	3 (33.3%)	1 (100.0%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (25.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you heard someone bragging, joking, or giving excuses for making someone have sex with them?					
No	677 (93.0%)	260 (91.2%)	16 (84.2%)	287 (94.1%)	114 (95.8%)
Yes	51 (7.0%)	25 (8.8%)	3 (15.8%)	18 (5.9%)	5 (4.2%)
Bystanding Behavior*					
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	6 (11.8%)	1 (4.0%)	2 (66.7%)	2 (11.1%)	1 (20.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	6 (11.8%)	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	9 (17.6%)	5 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	1 (20.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	9 (17.6%)	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (27.8%)	2 (40.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	3 (5.9%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Created a distraction to try and help	2 (3.9%)	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	19 (37.3%)	13 (52.0%)	1 (33.3%)	5 (27.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (3.9%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	2 (3.9%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or heard someone sexually harass (verbally, over social media, or in person) someone else?					
No	600 (82.3%)	227 (79.6%)	14 (73.7%)	254 (83.0%)	105 (88.2%)
Yes	129 (17.7%)	58 (20.4%)	5 (26.3%)	52 (17.0%)	14 (11.8%)
Bystanding Behavior*					
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	15 (11.6%)	5 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (17.3%)	1 (7.1%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	16 (12.4%)	10 (17.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (7.7%)	2 (14.3%)

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.

Table 6c. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	32 (24.8%)	12 (20.7%)	1 (20.0%)	16 (30.8%)	3 (21.4%)
Did nothing for another reason	19 (14.7%)	9 (15.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (15.4%)	2 (14.3%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	9 (7.0%)	5 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (5.8%)	1 (7.1%)
Created a distraction to try and help	7 (5.4%)	5 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (7.1%)
Confronted the person	46 (35.7%)	20 (34.5%)	4 (80.0%)	16 (30.8%)	6 (42.9%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (1.6%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	12 (9.3%)	5 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (9.6%)	2 (14.3%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you become aware of another potentially dangerous situation?					
No	647 (89.0%)	247 (86.7%)	16 (84.2%)	276 (90.8%)	108 (90.8%)
Yes	80 (11.0%)	38 (13.3%)	3 (15.8%)	28 (9.2%)	11 (9.2%)
Bystanding Behavior*					
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	3 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (10.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	12 (15.0%)	7 (18.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (17.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	16 (20.0%)	9 (23.7%)	2 (66.7%)	4 (14.3%)	1 (9.1%)
Did nothing for another reason	10 (12.5%)	5 (13.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (14.3%)	1 (9.1%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	12 (15.0%)	7 (18.4%)	1 (33.3%)	4 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Created a distraction to try and help	2 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	13 (16.3%)	3 (7.9%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (21.4%)	4 (36.4%)
Called UNM police or other authority	13 (16.3%)	6 (15.8%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (21.4%)	1 (9.1%)
Took action in another way	19 (23.8%)	9 (23.7%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (17.9%)	5 (45.5%)

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.

Table 7c. Sexual Consent

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Both people should make sure that the other person clearly agrees to have sex.					
Strongly Disagree	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Neutral	14 (1.9%)	3 (1.1%)	2 (10.5%)	6 (2.0%)	3 (2.5%)
Agree	72 (9.9%)	25 (8.8%)	2 (10.5%)	24 (7.9%)	21 (17.6%)
Strongly Agree	637 (87.5%)	255 (89.5%)	15 (78.9%)	273 (89.5%)	94 (79.0%)
Even if some sexual activity has started, either person has the right to change their mind and stop.					
Strongly Disagree	5 (0.7%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Neutral	14 (1.9%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	3 (2.5%)
Agree	95 (13.1%)	32 (11.3%)	2 (10.5%)	36 (11.8%)	25 (21.0%)
Strongly Agree	610 (84.0%)	248 (87.3%)	16 (84.2%)	257 (84.5%)	89 (74.8%)
If someone agrees to have one type of sex it is OK to assume they have agreed to other forms of sex.					
Strongly Disagree	460 (63.1%)	198 (69.5%)	10 (52.6%)	191 (62.4%)	61 (51.3%)
Disagree	191 (26.2%)	71 (24.9%)	5 (26.3%)	76 (24.8%)	39 (32.8%)
Neutral	49 (6.7%)	9 (3.2%)	1 (5.3%)	25 (8.2%)	14 (11.8%)
Agree	16 (2.2%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	4 (3.4%)
Strongly Agree	13 (1.8%)	4 (1.4%)	2 (10.5%)	6 (2.0%)	1 (0.8%)
If you have had sex with someone in the past, you can assume that they have agreed to have sex with you now.					
Strongly Disagree	498 (68.5%)	210 (73.9%)	12 (63.2%)	209 (68.5%)	67 (56.3%)
Disagree	158 (21.7%)	57 (20.1%)	4 (21.1%)	64 (21.0%)	33 (27.7%)
Neutral	44 (6.1%)	11 (3.9%)	1 (5.3%)	19 (6.2%)	13 (10.9%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 7c. Sexual Consent

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Agree	10 (1.4%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
Strongly Agree	17 (2.3%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	5 (4.2%)
If someone seems interested in you sexually but then becomes so drunk or high that they can't talk to you, it is not okay to have sex with them.					
Strongly Disagree	53 (7.3%)	21 (7.4%)	1 (5.3%)	22 (7.2%)	9 (7.6%)
Disagree	22 (3.0%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (3.0%)	8 (6.7%)
Neutral	29 (4.0%)	7 (2.5%)	1 (5.3%)	16 (5.2%)	5 (4.2%)
Agree	92 (12.6%)	38 (13.3%)	2 (10.5%)	29 (9.5%)	23 (19.3%)
Strongly Agree	532 (73.1%)	214 (75.1%)	15 (78.9%)	229 (75.1%)	74 (62.2%)
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	722	283	19	301	119
Mean (SD)	23 (2.85)	23.4 (2.63)	22.4 (2.97)	23 (2.89)	22.3 (3.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	21, 23, 25	21, 25, 25	20, 23, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	15, 25	5, 25	14, 25

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM:					
Made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that were insulting or offensive?					
0 times	544 (74.7%)	199 (69.8%)	14 (73.7%)	244 (80.0%)	87 (73.1%)
1 time	59 (8.1%)	20 (7.0%)	2 (10.5%)	24 (7.9%)	13 (10.9%)
2 times	49 (6.7%)	23 (8.1%)	1 (5.3%)	15 (4.9%)	10 (8.4%)
3-5 times	35 (4.8%)	20 (7.0%)	2 (10.5%)	9 (3.0%)	4 (3.4%)
6-10 times	6 (0.8%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
More than 10 times	12 (1.6%)	9 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	23 (3.2%)	10 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (3.3%)	3 (2.5%)
Made inappropriate or offensive comments about your or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities?					
0 times	560 (77.1%)	203 (71.2%)	16 (84.2%)	247 (81.5%)	94 (79.0%)
1 time	52 (7.2%)	21 (7.4%)	1 (5.3%)	21 (6.9%)	9 (7.6%)
2 times	46 (6.3%)	23 (8.1%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (4.6%)	9 (7.6%)
3-5 times	28 (3.9%)	18 (6.3%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.7%)	4 (3.4%)
6-10 times	9 (1.2%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.7%)	1 (0.8%)
More than 10 times	13 (1.8%)	10 (3.5%)	1 (5.3%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	18 (2.5%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (3.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you did not want?					
0 times	639 (88.0%)	243 (85.3%)	17 (89.5%)	271 (89.1%)	108 (91.5%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
1 time	29 (4.0%)	14 (4.9%)	1 (5.3%)	11 (3.6%)	3 (2.5%)
2 times	16 (2.2%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	5 (4.2%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
6-10 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	6 (0.8%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	23 (3.2%)	11 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM:					
Harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated you because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?					
0 times	666 (91.5%)	261 (91.6%)	19 (100.0%)	276 (90.5%)	110 (92.4%)
1 time	15 (2.1%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	1 (0.8%)
2 times	13 (1.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	2 (1.7%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	4 (3.4%)
6-10 times	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
More than 10 times	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	9 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	1 (0.8%)
Physically hurt you (including forced sex) because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?					
0 times	706 (97.2%)	272 (96.1%)	19 (100.0%)	298 (97.7%)	117 (98.3%)
1 time	4 (0.6%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
3-5 times	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	12 (1.7%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Sexual Harassment Victim Since Fall 2016					
No	514 (70.6%)	187 (65.6%)	14 (73.7%)	228 (74.8%)	85 (71.4%)
Yes***	214 (29.4%)	98 (34.4%)	5 (26.3%)	77 (25.2%)	34 (28.6%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:					
Teacher or advisor	15 (7.0%)	11 (11.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (5.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	11 (5.1%)	6 (6.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (5.9%)
Another student	173 (80.8%)	86 (87.8%)	4 (80.0%)	57 (74.0%)	26 (76.5%)
Someone affiliated with UNM	6 (2.8%)	1 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (5.9%)
Don't Know	40 (18.7%)	15 (15.3%)	1 (20.0%)	15 (19.5%)	9 (26.5%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	125 (58.4%)	61 (62.2%)	2 (40.0%)	44 (57.1%)	18 (52.9%)
In your dorm or apartment	39 (18.2%)	24 (24.5%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (11.7%)	6 (17.6%)
At a party or social event	53 (24.8%)	25 (25.5%)	3 (60.0%)	18 (23.4%)	7 (20.6%)
In a public place	72 (33.6%)	35 (35.7%)	1 (20.0%)	27 (35.1%)	9 (26.5%)
Other	18 (8.4%)	12 (12.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (5.2%)	2 (5.9%)
Don't Know	18 (8.4%)	8 (8.2%)	1 (20.0%)	5 (6.5%)	4 (11.8%)
Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance?					

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
No	135 (63.1%)	58 (59.2%)	4 (80.0%)	47 (61.0%)	26 (76.5%)
Yes	58 (27.1%)	29 (29.6%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (28.6%)	7 (20.6%)
Don't Know	21 (9.8%)	11 (11.2%)	1 (20.0%)	8 (10.4%)	1 (2.9%)
Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					
No	146 (68.2%)	65 (66.3%)	2 (40.0%)	53 (68.8%)	26 (76.5%)
Yes	51 (23.8%)	25 (25.5%)	1 (20.0%)	19 (24.7%)	6 (17.6%)
Don't Know	17 (7.9%)	8 (8.2%)	2 (40.0%)	5 (6.5%)	2 (5.9%)
Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?					
No	57 (26.8%)	23 (23.5%)	2 (40.0%)	22 (28.9%)	10 (29.4%)
Yes	142 (66.7%)	67 (68.4%)	3 (60.0%)	51 (67.1%)	21 (61.8%)
Don't Know	14 (6.6%)	8 (8.2%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	3 (8.8%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	107 (50.0%)	53 (54.1%)	3 (60.0%)	37 (48.1%)	14 (41.2%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	55 (25.7%)	26 (26.5%)	1 (20.0%)	19 (24.7%)	9 (26.5%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	104 (48.6%)	54 (55.1%)	1 (20.0%)	37 (48.1%)	12 (35.3%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	7 (3.3%)	3 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.6%)	2 (5.9%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	23 (10.7%)	16 (16.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (5.2%)	3 (8.8%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	4 (1.9%)	1 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	7 (3.3%)	4 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Made a formal report or pressed charges against the person responsible?	7 (3.3%)	4 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Missed classes or work?	42 (19.6%)	22 (22.4%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (20.8%)	4 (11.8%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	28 (13.1%)	14 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (15.6%)	2 (5.9%)
Not felt any different?	75 (35.0%)	31 (31.6%)	3 (60.0%)	27 (35.1%)	14 (41.2%)
Gotten worse grades?	24 (11.2%)	11 (11.2%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (11.7%)	4 (11.8%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	36 (16.8%)	18 (18.4%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (16.9%)	5 (14.7%)
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n	722	283	19	302	118
Mean (SD)	1.6 (4.44)	2.2 (5.31)	1.9 (5.81)	1.1 (3.7)	1.3 (3.39)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 2	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 25	0, 37	0, 22
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n	212	97	5	76	34
Mean (SD)	5.5 (6.78)	6.5 (7.4)	7.4 (10.06)	4.5 (6.31)	4.6 (4.99)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 6	2, 4, 8	1, 4, 6	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 25	1, 37	1, 22
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex					
No	696 (95.5%)	270 (94.7%)	17 (89.5%)	295 (96.4%)	114 (95.8%)
Yes	17 (2.3%)	6 (2.1%)	1 (5.3%)	6 (2.0%)	4 (3.4%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	9 (3.2%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex					
No	687 (94.2%)	266 (93.3%)	17 (89.5%)	289 (94.4%)	115 (96.6%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Yes	31 (4.3%)	16 (5.6%)	2 (10.5%)	11 (3.6%)	2 (1.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	11 (1.5%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM have either sexual penetration or oral sex happened to you while you were unable to consent or stop what was happening because you were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol?					
No	686 (94.1%)	261 (91.6%)	19 (100.0%)	291 (95.1%)	115 (96.6%)
Yes	22 (3.0%)	12 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	3 (2.5%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	21 (2.9%)	12 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	1 (0.8%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that you felt you must comply?					
No	712 (97.8%)	278 (97.9%)	18 (94.7%)	299 (97.7%)	117 (98.3%)
Yes	11 (1.5%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.3%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (1.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	5 (0.7%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex without your active, ongoing voluntary agreement?					
No	672 (92.2%)	259 (90.9%)	18 (94.7%)	285 (93.1%)	110 (92.4%)
Yes	38 (5.2%)	18 (6.3%)	1 (5.3%)	11 (3.6%)	8 (6.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	19 (2.6%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (3.3%)	1 (0.8%)
Sexual Violence Victim Since Fall 2016					
No	667 (91.5%)	255 (89.5%)	16 (84.2%)	288 (94.1%)	108 (90.8%)
Yes***	62 (8.5%)	30 (10.5%)	3 (15.8%)	18 (5.9%)	11 (9.2%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:					

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Teacher or advisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Friend	30 (48.4%)	16 (53.3%)	1 (33.3%)	9 (50.0%)	4 (36.4%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	16 (25.8%)	10 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)	4 (22.2%)	1 (9.1%)
Other	12 (19.4%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	4 (36.4%)
Don't Know	5 (8.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)	3 (16.7%)	1 (9.1%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	13 (21.0%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (38.9%)	1 (9.1%)
In your dorm or apartment	28 (45.2%)	14 (46.7%)	1 (33.3%)	7 (38.9%)	6 (54.5%)
At a party or social event	20 (32.3%)	12 (40.0%)	2 (66.7%)	3 (16.7%)	3 (27.3%)
In a public place	5 (8.1%)	3 (10.0%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (9.1%)
Other	10 (16.1%)	6 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	1 (9.1%)
Don't Know	5 (8.1%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	1 (9.1%)
Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance?					
No	25 (40.3%)	13 (43.3%)	2 (66.7%)	7 (38.9%)	3 (27.3%)
Yes	27 (43.5%)	12 (40.0%)	1 (33.3%)	8 (44.4%)	6 (54.5%)
Don't Know	10 (16.1%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	2 (18.2%)
Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					
No	35 (56.5%)	15 (50.0%)	3 (100.0%)	13 (72.2%)	4 (36.4%)
Yes	21 (33.9%)	11 (36.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (22.2%)	6 (54.5%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Don't Know	6 (9.7%)	4 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (9.1%)
Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?					
No	16 (25.8%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (44.4%)	3 (27.3%)
Yes	39 (62.9%)	21 (70.0%)	3 (100.0%)	8 (44.4%)	7 (63.6%)
Don't Know	7 (11.3%)	4 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.1%)	1 (9.1%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	39 (62.9%)	19 (63.3%)	1 (33.3%)	11 (61.1%)	8 (72.7%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	27 (43.5%)	14 (46.7%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (38.9%)	6 (54.5%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	4 (6.5%)	3 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	28 (45.2%)	14 (46.7%)	1 (33.3%)	7 (38.9%)	6 (54.5%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	2 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (9.1%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	13 (21.0%)	7 (23.3%)	1 (33.3%)	3 (16.7%)	2 (18.2%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	2 (3.2%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	4 (6.5%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	3 (4.8%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	17 (27.4%)	9 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (27.8%)	3 (27.3%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	16 (25.8%)	8 (26.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (22.2%)	4 (36.4%)
Not felt any different?	6 (9.7%)	3 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.1%)	1 (9.1%)
Gotten worse grades?	14 (22.6%)	7 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (22.2%)	3 (27.3%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	11 (17.7%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (16.7%)	3 (27.3%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Do you recall receiving UNM services?****					
No	1 (25.0%)	1 (33.3%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Yes	3 (75.0%)	2 (66.7%)	-	1 (100.0%)	-
How satisfied were you with your interaction with UNM staff or faculty?****					
Not at all satisfied	2 (50.0%)	1 (33.3%)	-	1 (100.0%)	-
Somewhat satisfied	1 (25.0%)	1 (33.3%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Satisfied	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Very satisfied	1 (25.0%)	1 (33.3%)	-	0 (0.0%)	-
Since Fall 2016, has SOMEONE*:					
Put a drug into your food or beverage without your knowledge?	10 (1.4%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
Put alcohol into your non-alcoholic drink without your knowledge?	6 (0.8%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Misled you about the amount of alcohol in your alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than you thought?	37 (5.1%)	13 (4.5%)	1 (5.3%)	17 (5.6%)	6 (5.0%)
Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without your knowledge, that you smoked?	11 (1.5%)	5 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
Given you a drug or alcohol, without you knowing you were getting the drug or alcohol, so that it would be easier to have sex with you?	7 (1.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Drug & Alcohol Victim Since Fall 2016					
No	676 (92.6%)	266 (93.0%)	18 (94.7%)	281 (91.8%)	111 (93.3%)
Yes***	54 (7.4%)	20 (7.0%)	1 (5.3%)	25 (8.2%)	8 (6.7%)
What happened to you as a result?*					

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Blacked out	10 (18.5%)	3 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (24.0%)	1 (12.5%)
Became physically ill	11 (20.4%)	3 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (28.0%)	1 (12.5%)
Experienced unwanted sexual touching	10 (18.5%)	4 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (20.0%)	1 (12.5%)
Was physically hurt (for example, were you hit, slapped, or beat up)	5 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Forced to have sexual intercourse	5 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (16.0%)	1 (12.5%)
Had fun	16 (29.6%)	7 (35.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (20.0%)	4 (50.0%)
Nothing	20 (37.0%)	5 (25.0%)	1 (100.0%)	10 (40.0%)	4 (50.0%)
Don't know what happened	6 (11.1%)	5 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	3 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (12.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016					
No	238 (32.6%)	96 (33.7%)	6 (31.6%)	93 (30.4%)	43 (36.1%)
Yes	491 (67.4%)	189 (66.3%)	13 (68.4%)	213 (69.6%)	76 (63.9%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse:					
Checked up on you by following you, invading your privacy by reading private messages or listening in on calls that were NOT done in a joking or playful manner?					
0 times	418 (85.3%)	165 (87.3%)	10 (76.9%)	178 (83.6%)	65 (86.7%)
1 time	29 (5.9%)	7 (3.7%)	1 (7.7%)	17 (8.0%)	4 (5.3%)
2 times	13 (2.7%)	6 (3.2%)	1 (7.7%)	4 (1.9%)	2 (2.7%)
3-5 times	21 (4.3%)	8 (4.2%)	1 (7.7%)	9 (4.2%)	3 (4.0%)
6-10 times	3 (0.6%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	3 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (1.3%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
26 + times	3 (0.6%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse:					
Controlled or tried to control you?					
0 times	425 (86.9%)	161 (85.6%)	12 (92.3%)	183 (86.3%)	69 (90.8%)
1 time	25 (5.1%)	10 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (5.7%)	3 (3.9%)
2 times	12 (2.5%)	6 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	13 (2.7%)	4 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (3.8%)	1 (1.3%)
6-10 times	5 (1.0%)	3 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)	1 (1.3%)
11-25 times	2 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (7.7%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	7 (1.4%)	4 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)	2 (2.6%)
Threatened to physically harm you, someone you love, or themselves?					
0 times	467 (95.3%)	178 (94.2%)	12 (92.3%)	206 (97.2%)	71 (93.4%)
1 time	13 (2.7%)	5 (2.6%)	1 (7.7%)	4 (1.9%)	3 (3.9%)
2 times	4 (0.8%)	3 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.3%)
3-5 times	3 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (1.3%)
6-10 times	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	2 (0.4%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Used any kind of physical force against you?					
0 times	453 (92.6%)	173 (91.5%)	12 (92.3%)	200 (94.3%)	68 (90.7%)
1 time	17 (3.5%)	7 (3.7%)	1 (7.7%)	3 (1.4%)	6 (8.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
2 times	11 (2.2%)	5 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	4 (0.8%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (1.3%)
6-10 times	2 (0.4%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Dating Violence Victim Since Fall 2016					
No	381 (77.6%)	150 (79.4%)	9 (69.2%)	161 (75.6%)	61 (80.3%)
Yes***	110 (22.4%)	39 (20.6%)	4 (30.8%)	52 (24.4%)	15 (19.7%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	17 (15.5%)	9 (23.1%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (9.6%)	3 (20.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	65 (59.1%)	28 (71.8%)	3 (75.0%)	22 (42.3%)	12 (80.0%)
At a party or social event	10 (9.1%)	6 (15.4%)	1 (25.0%)	2 (3.8%)	1 (6.7%)
In a public place	18 (16.4%)	6 (15.4%)	1 (25.0%)	7 (13.5%)	4 (26.7%)
Other	15 (13.6%)	3 (7.7%)	1 (25.0%)	10 (19.2%)	1 (6.7%)
Don't Know	10 (9.1%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (17.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance?					
No	69 (62.7%)	27 (69.2%)	4 (100.0%)	29 (55.8%)	9 (60.0%)
Yes	37 (33.6%)	12 (30.8%)	0 (0.0%)	19 (36.5%)	6 (40.0%)
Don't Know	4 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
No	71 (64.5%)	27 (69.2%)	4 (100.0%)	32 (61.5%)	8 (53.3%)
Yes	32 (29.1%)	11 (28.2%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (30.8%)	5 (33.3%)
Don't Know	7 (6.4%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (7.7%)	2 (13.3%)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?					
No	56 (50.9%)	18 (46.2%)	3 (75.0%)	31 (59.6%)	4 (26.7%)
Yes	44 (40.0%)	20 (51.3%)	1 (25.0%)	13 (25.0%)	10 (66.7%)
Don't Know	10 (9.1%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (15.4%)	1 (6.7%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	39 (35.5%)	16 (41.0%)	1 (25.0%)	16 (30.8%)	6 (40.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	33 (30.0%)	11 (28.2%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (30.8%)	6 (40.0%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	4 (3.6%)	4 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	35 (31.8%)	12 (30.8%)	0 (0.0%)	17 (32.7%)	6 (40.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	1 (0.9%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	10 (9.1%)	3 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (13.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	2 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	17 (15.5%)	5 (12.8%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (19.2%)	2 (13.3%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	20 (18.2%)	6 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (19.2%)	4 (26.7%)
Not felt any different?	30 (27.3%)	10 (25.6%)	2 (50.0%)	15 (28.8%)	3 (20.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Gotten worse grades?	15 (13.6%)	6 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (13.5%)	2 (13.3%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	9 (8.2%)	4 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (5.8%)	2 (13.3%)
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n	485	188	13	210	74
Mean (SD)	1.5 (6.59)	2 (9.2)	1.5 (3.84)	1.2 (3.75)	1.5 (5.28)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 84	0, 84	0, 14	0, 32	0, 31
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n	110	39	4	52	15
Mean (SD)	6.7 (12.54)	9.5 (18.53)	4.8 (6.18)	4.7 (6.36)	7.3 (10)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 6	1.5, 2, 8	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 8
Minimum, Maximum	1, 84	1, 84	1, 14	1, 32	1, 31
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n	487	188	13	211	75
Mean (SD)	1.3 (6.06)	1.7 (8.38)	1.4 (3.86)	1 (3.5)	1.3 (5.05)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 78	0, 78	0, 14	0, 32	0, 30
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n	103	36	3	50	14
Mean (SD)	6.4 (11.93)	9.1 (17.52)	6 (6.93)	4.3 (6.2)	7.1 (10.02)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2.5, 6	2, 2, 14	1, 2, 5	1, 2.5, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 78	1, 78	2, 14	1, 32	1, 30
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n	489	189	13	212	75
Mean (SD)	0.2 (0.9)	0.2 (1.08)	0.1 (0.28)	0.2 (0.87)	0.1 (0.43)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Minimum, Maximum	0, 11	0, 11	0, 1	0, 11	0, 3
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)*****, n	36	16	1	12	7
Mean (SD)	2.4 (2.45)	2.7 (2.75)	1(-)	2.7 (2.71)	1.3 (0.76)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 2	1, 2, 2.5	1, 1, 1	1.5, 2, 2.5	1, 1, 1
Minimum, Maximum	1, 11	1, 11	1, 1	1, 11	1, 3
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM:					
Made unwanted phone calls, sent emails, voice, text or instant messages, or posted messages, picture or videos on social networking sites in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?					
0 times	622 (85.7%)	238 (83.8%)	19 (100.0%)	258 (84.6%)	107 (90.7%)
1 time	20 (2.8%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (3.9%)	2 (1.7%)
2 times	4 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
3-5 times	10 (1.4%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	4 (0.6%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	65 (9.0%)	28 (9.9%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (9.5%)	8 (6.8%)
Showed up somewhere or waited for you when you did not want that person to be there in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?					
0 times	622 (85.4%)	229 (80.4%)	18 (94.7%)	265 (86.6%)	110 (93.2%)
1 time	19 (2.6%)	10 (3.5%)	1 (5.3%)	8 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	10 (1.4%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	7 (1.0%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
6-10 times	4 (0.5%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	63 (8.7%)	29 (10.2%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (8.5%)	8 (6.8%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM:					
Spied on, watched or followed you, either in person or using devices or software in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?					
0 times	635 (87.5%)	235 (82.5%)	19 (100.0%)	270 (89.1%)	111 (93.3%)
1 time	12 (1.7%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	6 (0.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	9 (1.2%)	6 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	4 (0.6%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	58 (8.0%)	28 (9.8%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (7.3%)	8 (6.7%)
Did another frightening, threatening, or damaging behavior on purpose that made you afraid for your safety?					
0 times	620 (85.4%)	233 (82.0%)	17 (89.5%)	263 (86.5%)	107 (89.9%)
1 time	16 (2.2%)	8 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.3%)	1 (0.8%)
2 times	13 (1.8%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)
3-5 times	7 (1.0%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.8%)
6-10 times	3 (0.4%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	65 (9.0%)	27 (9.5%)	2 (10.5%)	27 (8.9%)	9 (7.6%)
Stalking Victim Since Fall 2016					
No	642 (88.1%)	240 (84.2%)	18 (94.7%)	271 (88.6%)	113 (95.0%)
Yes***	87 (11.9%)	45 (15.8%)	1 (5.3%)	35 (11.4%)	6 (5.0%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:					
Teacher or advisor	2 (2.3%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	3 (3.4%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Friend	23 (26.4%)	12 (26.7%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (25.7%)	2 (33.3%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	36 (41.4%)	19 (42.2%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (40.0%)	3 (50.0%)
Other	21 (24.1%)	13 (28.9%)	1 (100.0%)	7 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	11 (12.6%)	4 (8.9%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (17.1%)	1 (16.7%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:					
On UNM property	55 (63.2%)	33 (73.3%)	0 (0.0%)	19 (54.3%)	3 (50.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	28 (32.2%)	15 (33.3%)	1 (100.0%)	9 (25.7%)	3 (50.0%)
At a party or social event	9 (10.3%)	5 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (11.4%)	0 (0.0%)
In a public place	27 (31.0%)	15 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (34.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	6 (6.9%)	3 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	2 (33.3%)
Don't Know	5 (5.7%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (11.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance?					
No	46 (54.1%)	21 (48.8%)	1 (100.0%)	19 (54.3%)	5 (83.3%)
Yes	31 (36.5%)	17 (39.5%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (37.1%)	1 (16.7%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Don't Know	8 (9.4%)	5 (11.6%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?					
No	49 (57.6%)	21 (47.7%)	1 (100.0%)	22 (64.7%)	5 (83.3%)
Yes	32 (37.6%)	21 (47.7%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (29.4%)	1 (16.7%)
Don't Know	4 (4.7%)	2 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?					
No	25 (29.1%)	9 (20.5%)	1 (100.0%)	14 (40.0%)	1 (16.7%)
Yes	58 (67.4%)	34 (77.3%)	0 (0.0%)	19 (54.3%)	5 (83.3%)
Don't Know	3 (3.5%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:					
Tried hard not to think about it?	46 (52.9%)	25 (55.6%)	0 (0.0%)	18 (51.4%)	3 (50.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	25 (28.7%)	13 (28.9%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (31.4%)	1 (16.7%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	6 (6.9%)	4 (8.9%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	46 (52.9%)	26 (57.8%)	1 (100.0%)	18 (51.4%)	1 (16.7%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	3 (3.4%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	1 (16.7%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	8 (9.2%)	5 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (8.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	1 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	4 (4.6%)	3 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	4 (4.6%)	4 (8.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	16 (18.4%)	10 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (17.1%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8c. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	9 (10.3%)	4 (8.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (11.4%)	1 (16.7%)
Not felt any different?	15 (17.2%)	7 (15.6%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (22.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Gotten worse grades?	12 (13.8%)	8 (17.8%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (8.6%)	1 (16.7%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	12 (13.8%)	5 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (17.1%)	1 (16.7%)
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n	720	283	19	301	117
Mean (SD)	0.6 (2.88)	1 (3.99)	0.1 (0.23)	0.5 (2.14)	0.1 (0.41)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 35	0, 35	0, 1	0, 22	0, 3
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)*****, n	87	45	1	35	6
Mean (SD)	5 (6.87)	6.4 (8.17)	1(-)	3.9 (5.15)	1.7 (0.82)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	2, 3, 8	1, 1, 1	1, 2, 5	1, 1.5, 2
Minimum, Maximum	1, 35	1, 35	1, 1	1, 22	1, 3

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 9c. Sexual Misconduct

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Since Fall 2016, at UNM have you*:					
Discussed sexual misconduct/rape in a class?	304 (41.6%)	131 (45.8%)	6 (31.6%)	124 (40.5%)	43 (36.1%)
Discussed the topic of sexual misconduct with friends?	355 (48.6%)	167 (58.4%)	10 (52.6%)	135 (44.1%)	43 (36.1%)
Discussed sexual misconduct with a family member?	213 (29.2%)	102 (35.7%)	6 (31.6%)	87 (28.4%)	18 (15.1%)
Attended an event or program about what you can do as a bystander (or up stander) to stop sexual misconduct?	162 (22.2%)	65 (22.7%)	4 (21.1%)	68 (22.2%)	25 (21.0%)
Attended a rally or other campus event about sexual misconduct or sexual assault?	77 (10.5%)	31 (10.8%)	0 (0.0%)	33 (10.8%)	13 (10.9%)
Seen posters about sexual misconduct (for example raising awareness, preventing rape, defining sexual misconduct)?	449 (61.5%)	193 (67.5%)	13 (68.4%)	176 (57.5%)	67 (56.3%)
Seen or heard campus administrators or staff address sexual misconduct?	230 (31.5%)	105 (36.7%)	7 (36.8%)	85 (27.8%)	33 (27.7%)
Seen crime alerts about sexual misconduct or sexual assaults?	453 (62.1%)	197 (68.9%)	12 (63.2%)	176 (57.5%)	68 (57.1%)
Read a report about sexual violence at UNM?	310 (42.5%)	136 (47.6%)	7 (36.8%)	120 (39.2%)	47 (39.5%)
Visited a UNM website with information on sexual misconduct or sexual assault?	85 (11.6%)	36 (12.6%)	4 (21.1%)	28 (9.2%)	17 (14.3%)
Volunteered or interned at an organization that addressed sexual misconduct/assault at UNM?	15 (2.1%)	10 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Seen or heard about sexual misconduct in a student publication or media outlet?	171 (23.4%)	77 (26.9%)	4 (21.1%)	64 (20.9%)	26 (21.8%)
Taken a class to learn more about sexual misconduct or assaults?	26 (3.6%)	10 (3.5%)	1 (5.3%)	10 (3.3%)	5 (4.2%)
Prior to this survey, were you aware of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy?					
No	162 (22.2%)	53 (18.5%)	4 (21.1%)	73 (23.9%)	32 (26.9%)
Yes	509 (69.7%)	212 (74.1%)	13 (68.4%)	206 (67.3%)	78 (65.5%)
Unsure	53 (7.3%)	17 (5.9%)	2 (10.5%)	26 (8.5%)	8 (6.7%)
Choose not to answer	6 (0.8%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)
What is your opinion of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy?					

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 9c. Sexual Misconduct

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Very Negative	24 (3.3%)	10 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.6%)	6 (5.0%)
Negative	54 (7.4%)	32 (11.2%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (4.6%)	8 (6.7%)
Neutral	227 (31.1%)	87 (30.4%)	7 (36.8%)	90 (29.4%)	43 (36.1%)
Positive	241 (33.0%)	92 (32.2%)	2 (10.5%)	108 (35.3%)	39 (32.8%)
Very Positive	151 (20.7%)	50 (17.5%)	8 (42.1%)	74 (24.2%)	19 (16.0%)
Choose not to answer	33 (4.5%)	15 (5.2%)	2 (10.5%)	12 (3.9%)	4 (3.4%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person accused.					
Very Unlikely	60 (8.3%)	28 (9.9%)	1 (5.6%)	26 (8.6%)	5 (4.2%)
Unlikely	162 (22.4%)	63 (22.3%)	3 (16.7%)	66 (21.7%)	30 (25.4%)
Neutral	264 (36.5%)	102 (36.0%)	11 (61.1%)	102 (33.6%)	49 (41.5%)
Likely	182 (25.2%)	73 (25.8%)	3 (16.7%)	79 (26.0%)	27 (22.9%)
Very Likely	55 (7.6%)	17 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)	31 (10.2%)	7 (5.9%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would provide accommodations to support the person making the report.					
Very Unlikely	42 (5.8%)	22 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (5.0%)	5 (4.2%)
Unlikely	113 (15.6%)	42 (14.8%)	4 (21.1%)	53 (17.5%)	14 (11.9%)
Neutral	194 (26.8%)	74 (26.1%)	9 (47.4%)	74 (24.4%)	37 (31.4%)
Likely	281 (38.8%)	115 (40.5%)	3 (15.8%)	116 (38.3%)	47 (39.8%)
Very Likely	94 (13.0%)	31 (10.9%)	3 (15.8%)	45 (14.9%)	15 (12.7%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct.					
Very Unlikely	50 (6.9%)	28 (9.9%)	1 (5.3%)	14 (4.6%)	7 (5.9%)
Unlikely	116 (16.0%)	50 (17.6%)	2 (10.5%)	49 (16.2%)	15 (12.7%)

 $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens~Health| McBee| Programs | UNM| 2017| T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 9c. Sexual Misconduct

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Neutral	192 (26.5%)	74 (26.1%)	7 (36.8%)	76 (25.1%)	35 (29.7%)
Likely	292 (40.3%)	105 (37.0%)	6 (31.6%)	132 (43.6%)	49 (41.5%)
Very Likely	74 (10.2%)	27 (9.5%)	3 (15.8%)	32 (10.6%)	12 (10.2%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would label the person making the report a trouble maker.					
Very Unlikely	134 (18.5%)	56 (19.7%)	3 (15.8%)	57 (18.8%)	18 (15.3%)
Unlikely	241 (33.3%)	97 (34.2%)	2 (10.5%)	114 (37.6%)	28 (23.7%)
Neutral	222 (30.7%)	85 (29.9%)	9 (47.4%)	86 (28.4%)	42 (35.6%)
Likely	96 (13.3%)	34 (12.0%)	3 (15.8%)	36 (11.9%)	23 (19.5%)
Very Likely	31 (4.3%)	12 (4.2%)	2 (10.5%)	10 (3.3%)	7 (5.9%)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was a UNM athlete, UNM would not take the case seriously.					
Very Unlikely	107 (14.8%)	33 (11.6%)	3 (15.8%)	57 (18.8%)	14 (11.9%)
Unlikely	155 (21.4%)	48 (16.9%)	5 (26.3%)	73 (24.1%)	29 (24.6%)
Neutral	202 (27.9%)	88 (31.0%)	5 (26.3%)	74 (24.4%)	35 (29.7%)
Likely	164 (22.7%)	72 (25.4%)	3 (15.8%)	65 (21.5%)	24 (20.3%)
Very Likely	96 (13.3%)	43 (15.1%)	3 (15.8%)	34 (11.2%)	16 (13.6%)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a high status or otherwise powerful fraternity, UNM would not take the case seriously.					
Very Unlikely	108 (14.9%)	32 (11.3%)	4 (21.1%)	58 (19.1%)	14 (12.0%)
Unlikely	163 (22.5%)	56 (19.7%)	5 (26.3%)	77 (25.3%)	25 (21.4%)
Neutral	200 (27.6%)	82 (28.9%)	4 (21.1%)	74 (24.3%)	40 (34.2%)
Likely	168 (23.2%)	77 (27.1%)	3 (15.8%)	64 (21.1%)	24 (20.5%)
Very Likely	85 (11.7%)	37 (13.0%)	3 (15.8%)	31 (10.2%)	14 (12.0%)

 $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens~Health| McBee| Programs | UNM| 2017| T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 9c. Sexual Misconduct

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a position of power or authority (for example a faculty member, coach, administrator or police), UNM would not take the case seriously.					
Very Unlikely	109 (15.1%)	38 (13.4%)	4 (21.1%)	53 (17.5%)	14 (11.9%)
Unlikely	166 (23.0%)	60 (21.2%)	4 (21.1%)	76 (25.1%)	26 (22.0%)
Neutral	222 (30.7%)	84 (29.7%)	5 (26.3%)	89 (29.4%)	44 (37.3%)
Likely	143 (19.8%)	61 (21.6%)	2 (10.5%)	58 (19.1%)	22 (18.6%)
Very Likely	83 (11.5%)	40 (14.1%)	4 (21.1%)	27 (8.9%)	12 (10.2%)
If the person accusing someone of sexual misconduct was from a wealthy or politically connected family, UNM would take the case more seriously.					
Very Unlikely	83 (11.4%)	28 (9.9%)	2 (10.5%)	44 (14.5%)	9 (7.6%)
Unlikely	118 (16.3%)	47 (16.5%)	2 (10.5%)	52 (17.1%)	17 (14.4%)
Neutral	253 (34.9%)	92 (32.4%)	8 (42.1%)	99 (32.6%)	54 (45.8%)
Likely	176 (24.3%)	74 (26.1%)	3 (15.8%)	74 (24.3%)	25 (21.2%)
Very Likely	95 (13.1%)	43 (15.1%)	4 (21.1%)	35 (11.5%)	13 (11.0%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person making the report.					
Very Unlikely	31 (4.3%)	16 (5.6%)	1 (5.3%)	11 (3.6%)	3 (2.6%)
Unlikely	54 (7.5%)	27 (9.5%)	1 (5.3%)	15 (4.9%)	11 (9.4%)
Neutral	243 (33.6%)	92 (32.4%)	11 (57.9%)	95 (31.3%)	45 (38.5%)
Likely	289 (39.9%)	107 (37.7%)	4 (21.1%)	132 (43.4%)	46 (39.3%)
Very Likely	107 (14.8%)	42 (14.8%)	2 (10.5%)	51 (16.8%)	12 (10.3%)
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	715	282	18	299	116
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.69)	28 (6.93)	28.3 (7.46)	29.7 (6.57)	28.5 (6.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	24, 27, 35	26, 29, 34	25, 28, 33

 $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens~Health| McBee| Programs | UNM| 2017| T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 9c. Sexual Misconduct

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	12, 42	10, 45	13, 42
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	720	283	19	301	117
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.7)	15.1 (4.63)	15.2 (5.86)	16.2 (4.73)	15.2 (4.43)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 18	10, 15, 20	13, 16, 20	12, 15, 19
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 10c. Summary of Scores

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n	723	282	19	303	119
Mean (SD)	4.4 (4.77)	4.9 (5.27)	3.8 (4.25)	4.1 (4.48)	3.8 (4.22)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 8	1, 3, 6	1, 3, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 36	0, 36	0, 15	0, 28	0, 16
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	3.7 (3.89)	4 (4.2)	3.4 (3.89)	3.5 (3.65)	3.3 (3.68)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	1, 2, 7	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n	725	283	19	304	119
Mean (SD)	0.7 (1.82)	1 (2.17)	0.5 (0.77)	0.7 (1.71)	0.5 (1.16)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 24	0, 24	0, 3	0, 16	0, 7
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n	725	282	19	306	118
Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.83)	0.4 (0.85)	0.5 (1.07)	0.4 (0.87)	0.2 (0.61)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	0.8 (3.41)	0.8 (3.09)	0.1 (0.46)	0.8 (4.1)	0.8 (2.27)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 55	0, 22	0, 2	0, 55	0, 11
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	727	283	19	306	119
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.64)	15.4 (2.64)	14.8 (2.39)	15.4 (2.55)	14.3 (2.77)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 17	13, 15, 16	14, 15, 17	12, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	6, 20	10, 20	4, 20	4, 20
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n	724	283	19	303	119

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: \ | DATAQUeST | Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

Table 10c. Summary of Scores

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Mean (SD)	7.9 (10.98)	8.6 (11.94)	7.9 (9.28)	7.9 (10.86)	6.3 (8.86)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 4, 11	1, 5, 11	0, 6, 13	1, 4, 11	0, 3, 9
Minimum, Maximum	0, 77	0, 77	0, 30	0, 77	0, 46
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n	726	284	19	304	119
Mean (SD)	3.5 (5.66)	4.2 (6.55)	2.3 (2.67)	3.2 (5.13)	2.5 (4.78)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 1, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 3
Minimum, Maximum	0, 33	0, 33	0, 9	0, 33	0, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n	727	284	19	305	119
Mean (SD)	4.5 (6.85)	4.4 (6.8)	5.6 (7.14)	4.7 (7.37)	3.8 (5.37)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	0, 3, 5	0, 3, 8	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 26	0, 44	0, 25
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	722	283	19	301	119
Mean (SD)	23 (2.85)	23.4 (2.63)	22.4 (2.97)	23 (2.89)	22.3 (3.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	21, 23, 25	21, 25, 25	20, 23, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	15, 25	5, 25	14, 25
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n	722	283	19	302	118
Mean (SD)	1.6 (4.44)	2.2 (5.31)	1.9 (5.81)	1.1 (3.7)	1.3 (3.39)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 2	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 25	0, 37	0, 22
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n	485	188	13	210	74
Mean (SD)	1.5 (6.59)	2 (9.2)	1.5 (3.84)	1.2 (3.75)	1.5 (5.28)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 84	0, 84	0, 14	0, 32	0, 31
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n	487	188	13	211	75

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: \ | DATAQUeST | Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 78	0, 78	0, 14	0, 32	0, 30
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n	489	189	13	212	75
Mean (SD)	0.2 (0.9)	0.2 (1.08)	0.1 (0.28)	0.2 (0.87)	0.1 (0.43)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 11	0, 11	0, 1	0, 11	0, 3
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n	720	283	19	301	117
Mean (SD)	0.6 (2.88)	1 (3.99)	0.1 (0.23)	0.5 (2.14)	0.1 (0.41)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 35	0, 35	0, 1	0, 22	0, 3
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	715	282	18	299	116
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.69)	28 (6.93)	28.3 (7.46)	29.7 (6.57)	28.5 (6.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	24, 27, 35	26, 29, 34	25, 28, 33
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	12, 42	10, 45	13, 42
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	720	283	19	301	117
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.7)	15.1 (4.63)	15.2 (5.86)	16.2 (4.73)	15.2 (4.43)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 18	10, 15, 20	13, 16, 20	12, 15, 19
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)*****, n	561	223	15	236	87
Mean (SD)	5.6 (4.71)	6.2 (5.19)	4.9 (4.22)	5.3 (4.42)	5.2 (4.14)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 9	2, 5, 10	2, 3, 7	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 36	1, 36	1, 15	1, 28	1, 16
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)*****, n	545	214	15	232	84
Mean (SD)	4.9 (3.77)	5.4 (4.04)	4.3 (3.92)	4.6 (3.54)	4.7 (3.57)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 9	1, 3, 6	2, 4, 6	2, 4, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 12	1, 12	1, 12	1, 12	1, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)*****, n	226	104	7	86	29
Mean (SD)	2.4 (2.6)	2.6 (2.91)	1.3 (0.76)	2.3 (2.54)	2 (1.61)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 1, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 3	1, 1, 2
Minimum, Maximum	1, 24	1, 24	1, 3	1, 16	1, 7
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)*****, n	153	73	4	64	12
Mean (SD)	1.7 (0.98)	1.7 (0.85)	2.3 (1.26)	1.8 (1.1)	1.8 (0.97)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 1, 2	1, 1, 2	1.5, 2, 3	1, 1, 2.5	1, 1.5, 2
Minimum, Maximum	1, 4	1, 4	1, 4	1, 4	1, 4
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n	109	40	1	43	25
Mean (SD)	5.4 (7.26)	6 (6.14)	2(-)	6 (9.5)	3.8 (3.69)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 11	1.5, 2, 11	2, 2, 2	1, 2, 11	1, 2, 4
Minimum, Maximum	1, 55	1, 22	2, 2	1, 55	1, 11
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	727	283	19	306	119
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.64)	15.4 (2.64)	14.8 (2.39)	15.4 (2.55)	14.3 (2.77)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 17	13, 15, 16	14, 15, 17	12, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	6, 20	10, 20	4, 20	4, 20

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_RACE_ETH.sas

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)*****, n	557	230	14	232	81
Mean (SD)	10.3 (11.49)	10.6 (12.43)	10.8 (9.28)	10.4 (11.35)	9.2 (9.39)
Q1, Median, Q3	3, 6, 13	3, 6.5, 13	4, 7, 15	3, 7, 13	3, 6, 14
Minimum, Maximum	1,77	1, 77	1, 30	1,77	1, 46
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)*****, n	423	179	11	170	63
Mean (SD)	5.9 (6.36)	6.7 (7.18)	4 (2.32)	5.7 (5.71)	4.7 (5.76)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 8	2, 4, 5	2, 4, 8	1, 3, 5
Minimum, Maximum	1, 33	1, 33	1, 9	1, 33	1, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)*****, n	497	205	14	209	69
Mean (SD)	6.6 (7.41)	6.1 (7.33)	7.6 (7.35)	6.9 (8.02)	6.6 (5.61)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 8	2, 4, 7	3, 4.5, 9	2, 4, 9	2, 5, 9
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 26	1, 44	1, 25
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	722	283	19	301	119
Mean (SD)	23 (2.85)	23.4 (2.63)	22.4 (2.97)	23 (2.89)	22.3 (3.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	21, 23, 25	21, 25, 25	20, 23, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	15, 25	5, 25	14, 25
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n	212	97	5	76	34
Mean (SD)	5.5 (6.78)	6.5 (7.4)	7.4 (10.06)	4.5 (6.31)	4.6 (4.99)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 6	2, 4, 8	1, 4, 6	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 6
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 25	1, 37	1, 22
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n	110	39	4	52	15
Mean (SD)	6.7 (12.54)	9.5 (18.53)	4.8 (6.18)	4.7 (6.36)	7.3 (10)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 6	1.5, 2, 8	1, 2, 5	1, 2, 8
Minimum, Maximum	1, 84	1, 84	1, 14	1, 32	1, 31

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_RACE_ETH.sas

	All Subjects (N=730)	White Not Hispanic (N=286)	Black Not Hispanic (N=19)	Hispanic (N=306)	Other (N=119)
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n	103	36	3	50	14
Mean (SD)	6.4 (11.93)	9.1 (17.52)	6 (6.93)	4.3 (6.2)	7.1 (10.02)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2.5, 6	2, 2, 14	1, 2, 5	1, 2.5, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 78	1, 78	2, 14	1, 32	1, 30
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)*****, n	36	16	1	12	7
Mean (SD)	2.4 (2.45)	2.7 (2.75)	1(-)	2.7 (2.71)	1.3 (0.76)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 2	1, 2, 2.5	1, 1, 1	1.5, 2, 2.5	1, 1, 1
Minimum, Maximum	1, 11	1, 11	1, 1	1, 11	1, 3
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)*****, n	87	45	1	35	6
Mean (SD)	5 (6.87)	6.4 (8.17)	1(-)	3.9 (5.15)	1.7 (0.82)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	2, 3, 8	1, 1, 1	1, 2, 5	1, 1.5, 2
Minimum, Maximum	1, 35	1, 35	1, 1	1, 22	1, 3
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	715	282	18	299	116
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.69)	28 (6.93)	28.3 (7.46)	29.7 (6.57)	28.5 (6.06)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	24, 27, 35	26, 29, 34	25, 28, 33
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	12, 42	10, 45	13, 42
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	720	283	19	301	117
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.7)	15.1 (4.63)	15.2 (5.86)	16.2 (4.73)	15.2 (4.43)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 18	10, 15, 20	13, 16, 20	12, 15, 19
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. *****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens \ Health | McBee | Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_RACE_ETH. sas$